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| **Project 9.3i Summary Presentation Evaluation** |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Students: |  | Strongly Agree  Excellent | Agree  Satisfactory | Disagree  Poor |
| All designers were identified. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Problem was explained. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Design challenge was explained. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Constraints were reviewed. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Overview of research was given. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Initial design sketches shown and explained. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Reasons for selecting an idea were given. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 3D CAD development work was shown. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Thorough explanation of the design given. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Technical drawings were present. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Bill of materials was present. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Degree of accomplishment was clearly stated. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Good comments on changes in hindsight. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Presenter reflected on skills/lessons learned. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Information presented in logical order. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Presentation flowed smoothly. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Good eye contact with audience. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Good voice projection. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Presentation was visually stimulating. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Quality of the text. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Quality of the background(s). | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Quality of the graphics (scans, digital photos). | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Ability to answer questions. | | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | |  |  |  |